Superior Co	ourt of Washington, Cou	nty of			
In re visits with:					
Children:					
		No			
Petitioner/s (person/s who	started this case):	Final Order and Findings on Petition for Visits (ORDYMT, OGV)			
Respondent/s (parent/s, with court-ordered residential	-				
		Clerk's action requi	ired: 14,		
		[]1			
 Money Judgmen No money judg 	-			sits	
Judgment for	Debtor's name (person who must pay money)	Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest	
Lawyer fees					
Costs			\$	\$	
Yearly Interest Ra	te:% (12% unless otherwis	e listed)		<u>i</u>	

Lawyer (name):

Lawyer (name):

represents (name):

represents (name):

2.	Basis for order (check all that apply):							
	[]] Parties' agreement.						
	[]	Order on Motion for Default signe	ed on <i>(da</i>	te):				
	[]	Hearing on (date):						
		The following people were at the hearing:						
3.	Ch	ildren covered by order						
	CI	nild's Name	Age	Child's Name	Age			
	1.			2.				
	3.			4.				
	5.			6.				
Fin	ding	gs & Conclusions						
4.	Ju	venile Court case						
	[] There is no open Juvenile Court case involving child custody or out-of-home placement for the children.							
		[] There is an open Juvenile Court case involving child custody or out-of-home placement for the children in this county under case number: The court handling that case has signed an order allowing this court to proceed with this case about visits.						
5.	Jurisdiction over the children (RCW 26.27.201 – .221, .231, .261, .271)							
	[] This court cannot decide this case for these children (names):							
	because this court does not have jurisdiction over them. The <i>Petition</i> should be dismissed as to these children.							
	[] This court can decide this case for these children because (check all that apply; if a							
		box applies to all of the children, you may write "the children" instead of listing names): [] Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction – A Washington court has already made a						
		parenting plan, residential schedule or custody order for the children, and the court still has authority to make other orders for <i>(children's names)</i> :						
		[] Home state jurisdiction – Washington is the children's home state because <i>(check all that apply):</i>						
				or someone acting as a parent for a siled, or if the children were less the				
			e was file	d, they had lived in Washington wi				

	[] (Children's names):
	[] (Children's names): do relive in Washington right now, but Washington was the children's home state sometime in the 6 months just before this case was filed, and a parent or someone acting as a parent of the children still lives in Washington.
	[] (Children's names): do have another home state.
[] No home state or home state declined – No court of any other state (or tribe has the jurisdiction to make decisions for <i>(children's names):</i> or a court in the children's home state (or tribe) decided it is better to have this case in Washington and:
	 The children and a parent or someone acting as a parent have ties to Washington beyond just living here; and
	 There is substantial evidence about the children's care, protection, education relationships in this state.
[] Other state declined – The courts in other states (or tribes) that might be (children's names):'s home states have refused to take this case because it is better to have this case in Washing
[] Other reason (specify):
Hea	ring set after review
The	ring set after review Court found that a hearing should be held in an Order After Review of Petition for s (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on (date):
The Visit	Court found that a hearing should be held in an Order After Review of Petition for
The Visit	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for</i> s (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on <i>(date)</i> :
The Visit	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for</i> s (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on <i>(date):</i> Does not apply. These findings and conclusions are agreed to by all parties.
The Visit	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for</i> is (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on <i>(date)</i> : Does not apply. These findings and conclusions are agreed to by all parties. t petition
The Visit: [] [Firs Is thi	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for</i> is (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on <i>(date)</i> : Does not apply. These findings and conclusions are agreed to by all parties. t petition is the first <i>Petition for Visits</i> filed by Petitioner for these children?
The Visit	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for is</i> (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on <i>(date)</i> : Does not apply. These findings and conclusions are agreed to by all parties. It petition is the first <i>Petition for Visits</i> filed by Petitioner for these children? No. The <i>Petition</i> must be denied.
The Visit	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for is</i> (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on <i>(date)</i> : Does not apply. These findings and conclusions are agreed to by all parties. It petition is the first <i>Petition for Visits</i> filed by Petitioner for these children? No. The <i>Petition</i> must be denied. Yes.
The Visit	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for is</i> (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on <i>(date)</i> : Does not apply. These findings and conclusions are agreed to by all parties. It petition is the first <i>Petition for Visits</i> filed by Petitioner for these children? No. The <i>Petition</i> must be denied. Yes.
The Visit	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for is</i> (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on <i>(date)</i> : Does not apply. These findings and conclusions are agreed to by all parties. It petition is the first <i>Petition for Visits</i> filed by Petitioner for these children? No. The <i>Petition</i> must be denied. Yes.
The Visit	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for is</i> (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on <i>(date)</i> : Does not apply. These findings and conclusions are agreed to by all parties. It petition is the first <i>Petition for Visits</i> filed by Petitioner for these children? No. The <i>Petition</i> must be denied. Yes.
The Visit. [] [Firs Is thi [[This	Court found that a hearing should be held in an <i>Order After Review of Petition for</i> is (form FL Visits 486) signed by the court on (date): Does not apply. These findings and conclusions are agreed to by all parties. It petition is the first <i>Petition for Visits</i> filed by Petitioner for these children? No. The <i>Petition</i> must be denied. Yes. conclusion is based on the following facts:

all that apply, if more than one petitioner, specify each petitioner's relationship):
 Blood relative, including those of half-blood, and including first cousins, second cousins, nephews or nieces, and persons of preceding generations as denoted by prefixes of grand, great, or great-great, or
[] That person's spouse, even after the marriage is terminated or[] One of those relatives of any half sibling of the child.
[] Stepfather, stepmother, stepbrother, and stepsister, or
[] That person's spouse, even after the marriage is terminated or[] One of those relatives of any half sibling of the child.
 A person who legally adopts a child or the child's parent as well as the biological and other legally adopted children of such persons, and other relatives of the adoptive parents in accordance with state law, or
[] That person's spouse, even after the marriage is terminated or[] One of those relatives of any half sibling of the child.
[] Extended family members, as defined by the law or custom of an Indian child's tribe or, in the absence of such law or custom, a person who has reached the age of eighteen and who is the Indian child's grandparent, aunt or uncle, brother or sister, brother-in-law or sister-in-law, niece or nephew, first or second cousin, or stepparent who provides care in the family abode on a twenty-four hour basis to an Indian child as defined in 25 U.S.C. Sec. 1903(4).
This conclusion is based on the following facts:
Ongoing and substantial relationship
Does Petitioner have an ongoing and substantial relationship with the children for at least two years, or, if a child is under two, for at least half the child's life and with a shared expectation of and desire for an ongoing relationship?
[] No. The <i>Petition</i> must be denied.
[] Yes.
This conclusion is based on the following facts (describe whether Petitioner's relationship with the children was formed and sustained through interaction, companionship, and mutuality of interest and affection, without expectation of financial compensation and with substantial continuity):

9.

ourt presumes that a fit parent's decision to deny visitation is in the best interest of the n and does not create a likelihood of harm or a substantial risk of harm to the n. Considering Respondent/s' reasons for denying visits, has Petitioner overcome esumption by clear and convincing evidence to prove that the children are likely to narm or a substantial risk of harm if visitation is denied?
ourt presumes that a fit parent's decision to deny visitation is in the best interest of the n and does not create a likelihood of harm or a substantial risk of harm to the n. Considering Respondent/s' reasons for denying visits, has Petitioner overcome esumption by clear and convincing evidence to prove that the children are likely to
n and does not create a likelihood of harm or a substantial risk of harm to the n. Considering Respondent/s' reasons for denying visits, has Petitioner overcome sumption by clear and convincing evidence to prove that the children are likely to
No. The <i>Petition</i> must be denied.
Yes.
onclusion is based on the following facts (describe why and how the children would lid not likely be harmed):
nterest factors
es not apply because the court found no risk of harm as described in section 10 . e Petition must be denied.
e court has considered the following factors about whether or not visits are in the dren's best interest:
Current relationship: The court considered the love, affection, and strength of the current relationship between the child and Petitioner and how the relationship is beneficial to the child.
The court finds:
Prior relationship: The court considered the length and quality of the prior relationship between the child and Petitioner before Respondent denied visitation, including the role performed by Petitioner and the emotional ties that existed between the child and Petitioner.
The court finds:

	Relationship between parties: The court considered the relationship between Petitioner/s and Respondent/s.
T	he court finds:
_	
-	
S	Respondent's relationship: The court considered the love, affection, and strength of the current relationship between the child and Respondent.
I	he court finds:
_	
	Objection: The court considered the nature and reason for Respondent's objection to granting Petitioner visitation.
T	The court finds:
_	
_	
	Effect if granted: The court considered the effect that granting visitation will have on the relationship between the child and Respondent.
T	he court finds:
_	
	Residential time: The court considered the residential time-sharing arrangement between the parties having residential time with the child.
T	he court finds:
_	
_	
	Good faith: The court considered whether the <i>Petition</i> and <i>Response/s</i> were brought in good faith.
T	he court finds:
_	
_	
_	

	i.	Abuse or neglect: The court considered any history of physical, emotional, or sexual abuse or neglect by Petitioner, or any history of physical, emotional, or sexual abuse or neglect by a person residing with Petitioner if visitation would involve contact between the child and the person with such history.
		The court finds:
	j.	Child's preference: The court considered the child's reasonable preference, if old enough to express a preference.
		The court finds:
	k.	Other: The court considered the following other factors relevant to the child's best interest.
		The court finds:
	I.	Fit parent: The court considered that Respondent parent/s have not lost their parental rights by being adjudicated as unfit parent/s. The court finds:
		The court finds.
12.	Best	interest conclusion
		bes not apply because the court found no risk of harm as described in section 10 . he <i>Petition</i> must be denied.
		onsidering the factors above, has Petitioner proved by clear and convincing evidence at it is in the children's best interest to order visits?
		[] No. The Petition must be denied.
		[] Yes.

13.	Fir	ndings about fees and costs
	[]	Respondent/s did not ask for Petitioner to pay their lawyer fees and costs.
	[]	Petitioner paid Respondent/s' lawyer fees and costs before the Hearing.
	[]	Respondent/s (Name/s): asked the court to order Petitioner to pay their costs and lawyer fees. (Check one):
		[] The costs and lawyer fees ordered below are reasonable.
		[] After considering the financial resources of all parties, it is unjust to order Petitioner to pay Respondent/s reasonable costs and lawyer fees because:
	r 1	The <i>Petition</i> was brought in bad faith or without a reasonable basis in light of the
	LJ	requirements of the law. Petitioner should pay Respondent/s reasonable costs and lawyer fees as ordered below.
	[]	Other findings:
Coı	irt (Order
14.	De	ecision
	[]	Visits Denied – The court denies the Petition for Visits.
	[]	Visits Approved – The court approves the <i>Petition</i> . Petitioner will have visits with the children as follows (specify frequency and length of visits):
		Petitioner must pay all transportation costs related to the visits.

	Money judgment for fees		(summa	arized on page 1)				
	[] No money judgment is ordered.							
	Judgment for	Debtor's (person w pay mone	name vho must	Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest		
	[] Lawyer fees		- /			\$		
	[] Costs (specify)				\$	\$		
	The interest rate is 12% unless another amount is listed below. [] The interest rate is% because (explain):							
16.	Other orders (if any)							
Date		Judge or (Commis	sioner				
Datit	ioner/s and Respondent/s							
This o	document (check any that appears and Respondents appears and agreement of the parties bresented by me by the court without	oly):	This do [] is an [] is pro [] may	ocument (check are agreement of the persented by me be signed by the co	parties	notice to me		
Petitio	ner signs here or lawyer signs here	+ WSBA #	Respond	dent signs here or law	yer signs her	e + WSBA #		
Print N	Print Name Date			Print Name Date				
This document <i>(check any that apply)</i> : [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without notice to me		This document <i>(check any that apply)</i> : [] is an agreement of the parties [] is presented by me [] may be signed by the court without notice to me						
011	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0004 #	<u> </u>			1/0.0.4 //		
Other	Petitioner or lawyer signs here + W	SBA #	Other Re	espondent or lawyer s	signs here + V	VSBA #		
Print N	lame	Date	Print Na	me		Date		